## Filed 1/14/04 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	2004 ND 3	
State of North Dakota,		Plaintiff and Appellee
v.		
Andrew Heckelsmiller,		Defendant and Appellant
	No. 20030179	
Appeal from the Di District, the Honorable Do	strict Court of Burleigh Counald L. Jorgensen, Judge.	unty, South Central Judicial
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		
Donavin L. Grenz, 1	01 North Broadway, P.O. Bo	ox 637, Linton, N.D. 58552-

Tyrone Jay Turner, Assistant State's Attorney, Burleigh County Courthouse, 514 East Thayer Avenue, Bismarck, N.D. 58501, for plaintiff and appellee.

0637, for defendant and appellant.

## State v. Heckelsmiller No. 20030179

## Per Curiam.

- [¶1] Andrew Heckelsmiller appeals from a judgment convicting him of Criminal Trespass in violation of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-22-03. Heckelsmiller argues that he received ineffective assistance of counsel. In addition, Heckelsmiller argues the trial court abused its discretion when it excluded two of his witnesses who violated a sequestration order. He claims a trial court has an affirmative duty at least to inquire what testimony a witness heard before barring their testimony. After reviewing the entire record, we cannot conclude that assistance of counsel was plainly defective, and we affirm the judgment of conviction under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(4).
- [¶2] We summarily affirm, however, without prejudice to Heckelsmiller's right to raise the issue of ineffective assistance of counsel in post-conviction proceedings. See State v. Palmer, 2002 ND 5, ¶ 13, 638 N.W.2d 18.
- [¶3] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Mary Muehlen Maring William A. Neumann Dale V. Sandstrom Carol Ronning Kapsner